



**San Diego County**  
**Local Agency Formation Commission**  
 Regional Service Planning | Subdivision of the State of California

**6c**

**AGENDA REPORT**  
 Public Hearing

March 2, 2020

**TO:** Commissioners

**FROM:** Keene Simonds, Executive Officer

**SUBJECT:** Adoption of Proposed Workplan and Budget for 2020-2021

**SUMMARY**

The San Diego County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) will consider recommendations from the Executive Officer in adopting a proposed workplan and budget for 2020-2021. The proposed workplan outlines 20 specific project goals and continues to focus on the preparation of municipal service reviews in northern San Diego County. The proposed budget draws on the workplan and tallies \$1.953 million in expenses, which represents an overall increase of 1.9% and largely tied to adjustments in salaries and benefits. A matching amount of revenues are also budgeted with one notable internal distinction; agency contributions are set to increase by \$48,958 or 2.9% in conjunction with the decrease use of reserves by nearly one-third from \$72,600 in 2019-2020 to \$50,000 in 2020-2021. Adoption will precede a formal public review and conclude with final actions in May.

**BACKGROUND**

**Annual Budget Process**

San Diego LAFCO is responsible under State law to adopt a proposed budget by May 1<sup>st</sup> and a final budget by June 15<sup>th</sup>. A mandatory review by all local funding agencies is required between the two adoption periods. State law also specifies the proposed and final budgets shall – at a minimum – be equal to the budget adopted for the previous fiscal year unless

<p><b>Administration</b>          Keene Simonds, Executive Officer          County Operations Center          9335 Hazard Way, Suite 200          San Diego, California 92123          T 858.614.7755 F 858.614.7766          www.sdlafco.org</p>	<p><b>Jim Desmond</b>          County of San Diego</p> <p><b>Chair Dianne Jacob</b>          County of San Diego</p> <p><b>Greg Cox, Alternate</b>          County of San Diego</p>	<p><b>Mary Casillas Salas</b>          City of Chula Vista</p> <p><b>Bill Wells</b>          City of El Cajon</p> <p><b>Paul McNamara, Alternate</b>          City of Escondido</p>	<p><b>Mark Kersey</b>          City of San Diego</p> <p><b>Chris Cate, Alternate</b>          City of San Diego</p>	<p><b>Jo MacKenzie</b>          Vista Irrigation</p> <p><b>Barry Willis</b>          Alpine Fire Protection</p> <p><b>Erin Lump, Alternate</b>          Rincon del Diablo MWD</p>	<p><b>Vice Chair Andy Vanderlaan</b>          General Public</p> <p><b>Harry Mathis, Alternate</b>          General Public</p>
---	---	---	---	---	--

LAFCO formally finds any reduced costs will nonetheless allow the membership to meet its prescribed regulatory and planning duties.

### Prescriptive Funding Sources

State law mandates operating costs for LAFCOs shall be annually funded among their represented agency membership categories. San Diego LAFCO’s operating costs, accordingly, are divided among four distinct membership categories with the largest apportionment assigned to the County of San Diego at 28.6%. The independent special districts and cities less the City of San Diego are also apportioned funding percentages of 28.6% with individual amounts divided thereafter based on total revenue shares in a given fiscal year. The City of San Diego – and based on special legislation providing the City a dedicated seat on LAFCO – is responsible for the remaining 14.3% of annual operating costs.

### Current Budget

San Diego LAFCO’s adopted final budget for 2019-2020 totals \$1.916 million. This amount represents the total approved operating expenditures divided between three active expense units: salaries and benefits; service and supplies; and other. A matching revenue total was also budgeted to provide a projected year-end net of \$0 and with the purposeful aid of a planned \$0.072 million transfer from reserves. Budgeted revenues are divided between four active units: intergovernmental contributions; service charges; earnings; and miscellaneous. The total fund balance as of July 1, 2019 was \$1.447 million.

Budgeted FY20 Expenses	Budgeted FY20 Revenues	Budgeted FY20 Year End Balance	Beginning FY20 Fund Balance
\$1.916	\$1.916	\$0	\$1.447

amounts in millions

### DISCUSSION

This agenda item is for San Diego LAFCO to consider recommendations from the Executive Officer in adopting a proposed (a) workplan and (b) budget for the upcoming fiscal year. Adoption of these documents would immediately precede a formal public review and comment period – including providing copies to all 77 local funding agencies – with final actions scheduled for the May meeting. A summary discussion of the main components underlying both the proposed workplan and operating budget follows.

### Summary | Proposed Workplan in 2020-2021

The proposed workplan draws on a review of San Diego LAFCO needs and goals by the Executive Officer and ahead of receiving input and direction from the Commission. It outlines 20 specific projects divided between statutory (legislative directives) and administrative (discretionary matters) activities. The projects are listed in sequence by assigned priority between high, moderate, and low. The projects are also divided between

new items and tasks continued from the current fiscal year. A summary of all high priority projects follows with the entire listing provided as part of Attachment One (Exhibit A).

- No. 1 | MSR-SOI for the Fallbrook Region (Continued)  
This project is drawn from the adopted study schedule and involves a comprehensive study of the Fallbrook region and includes preparing a municipal service review covering four agencies under the Commission’s oversight. The affected agencies are the Fallbrook Public Utility District, Rainbow Municipal Water District, San Luis Rey Municipal Water District, and North County Fire Protection District. The municipal service review will inform subsequent sphere of influence updates for the agencies and – among other items – help inform a separately filed request by Fallbrook to activate its latent powers to provide park and recreation services.
- No. 2 | MSR-SOI for the Escondido Region – Part II (Continued)  
This project is drawn from the adopted study schedule and involves a comprehensive study of Escondido region specific to the City of Escondido; the other agencies (Rincon del Diablo Municipal Water District and Deer Springs Fire Protection District) in the region were reviewed in Part I, which was completed in 2019-2020. The municipal service review – notably – and is being prepared by the City of Escondido and as part of a prior arrangement and will include additional focus on an anticipated reorganization proposal involving Harvest Hills (Safari Highland) development. Subsequent sphere of influence updates for all agencies in the region will draw on both Parts I and II.
- No. 3 | Policy Review on Rule No. 4 (New)  
This project is part of a periodical review of existing policies to consider whether revisions are appropriate to address changes in law and/or practices as well as current membership preferences. A policy review on Rule No. 4 and its guidance to the Commission in identifying and authorizing special districts’ service functions and classes as required under statute has been identified by the Executive Officer and informed by a related recommendation by the Special Districts Advisory Committee. A key issue underlying the update involves the appropriate categorization of recycled water.
- No. 4 | MSR-SOI for San Marcos Region (Continued)  
This project is drawn from the adopted study schedule and involves a comprehensive study of the San Marcos region and includes preparing a municipal service review covering three agencies under the Commission’s oversight. The affected agencies are the City of San Marcos, San Marcos Fire Protection District, and Vallecitos Water District. This project is being prepared in parallel to a separate study involving the Vista region (detailed below) given overlapping service provision and will conclude with sphere of influence updates for all the agencies in the region.

- No. 5 | MSR-SOI for Vista Region (Continued)  
This project is drawn from the adopted study schedule. It involves a comprehensive study of the Vista region and includes preparing a municipal service review covering four agencies under the Commission's oversight. The affected agencies are the City of Vista, Vista Irrigation District, Vista Fire Protection District, and Buena Sanitation District. This project is being prepared in parallel to a separate study involving the San Marcos region (detailed above) given overlapping service provision and will conclude with sphere of influence updates for all the agencies in the region.
- No. 6 | MSR-SOI for Oceanside/Carlsbad Region (New)  
This project is drawn from the adopted study schedule and involves a comprehensive study of the Oceanside/Carlsbad region and includes preparing a regional municipal service review covering five agencies under the Commission's oversight. The affected agencies are the City of Oceanside, City of Carlsbad, Carlsbad Municipal Water District, and Morro Hills Community Services District. The municipal service review will inform subsequent sphere of influence updates for all agencies in the region.
- No. 7 | Filling Analyst I/II Position (New)  
This project involves the recruitment, hiring, and filling of an Analyst I/II position that was unexpectedly vacated in October 2019. Most of the underlying activities will be performed directly by the LAFCO staff with assistance from County Human Resources and will be timed to align the recruitment to attract recent college graduates.
- Nos. 8 and 9 | Cities and Special Districts Advisory Committees (Continued)  
These two projects involve staffing both Advisory Committees during the fiscal year with the goal of holding no less than two meetings for the cities and three meetings for the special districts during the fiscal year. Specific focus of the Committees is to provide feedback to the Executive Officer and the Commission on various LAFCO activities with a focus on vetting controversial proposals and municipal service reviews.
- No. 10 | Policy Review on Study Schedule (New)  
This project provides the Commission an opportunity to revisit its current five-year study schedule calendaring municipal service reviews through 2022-2023. This includes considering amendments to reflect the current status of calendared studies as well as to provide feedback on overall content and scope and adjustments proceeding forward.

## Summary | Proposed Budget in 2020-2021

The proposed operating budget developed by the Executive Officer in conjunction with funding the referenced workplan sets expenses at \$1.953 million; a net increase of \$36,358 or 1.9% over the current fiscal year. The operating expense total is divided between labor and non-labor costs at an approximate 65-to-35 percent split. Savings in non-labor costs – and most notably involving professional services – underlie the overall decrease in expenses and attributed to continuing the insourcing of work activities through staff that began in

2018-2019 that would otherwise be outsourced to consultants. A matching amount of revenues is budgeted with one notable internal distinction. This distinction involves increasing agency contributions by \$48,958 or 2.9% to cover the difference in purposefully reducing the use of off-setting reserves by approximately one-third from \$72,600 in 2019-2020 to \$50,000. A general ledger outlining of all proposed expenses and revenues is provided as part of Attachment One (Exhibit A).

## **ANALYSIS**

The proposed workplan outlines 20 specific project goals for the fiscal year that responsively addresses San Diego LAFCO's regulatory and planning responsibilities in context to local conditions. The workplan also – pertinently – continues to be premised on prioritizing proposal work above all other activities. This includes several current and/or pending high-profile proposals and marked by concurrent applications by Fallbrook Public Utility District and Rainbow Municipal Water District to detach from the County Water Authority and annex to Eastern Municipal Water District. The workplan also incorporates the third year of the current study schedule with continued emphasis on the North County region and this includes competing studies underway involving the Fallbrook, San Marcos, and Vista regions. A separate policy review on the study schedule itself and opportunity to amend the document to reflect current progress and preferences is also planned.

The proposed budget supports the workplan and generally serves as a status quo with respect to maintaining existing service levels and resources and this includes maintain staffing levels at 8.0 fulltime employees. The proposed budget, nonetheless, provides for a moderate overall increase in operating expenses from \$1,916,300 to \$1,952,658; a difference of \$36,358 or 1.9%. The increase is largely tied to adjustments in salaries and benefits and attributed to a planned 3.0% cost-of-living adjustment previously approved by the Commission as well as accommodating changes for non-management personnel. Additional monies in salaries are also budgeted to increase per diems from \$200 to \$225.<sup>1</sup> Savings in non-labor and specifically in a planned reduction in consultant usage is helping to limit the overall increase to the referenced 2.9% amount. Reducing the use of reserves as offsetting revenues by one-third over the current fiscal year also continues the Commission's interest to ultimately square operating costs with agency contributions.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended San Diego LAFCO approve the proposed workplan and budget as presented with any desired changes. This recommendation is consistent with taking the actions identified in the proceeding section as Alternate One.

---

<sup>1</sup> Increasing per diem amounts would require a separate policy amendment and will be separately presented to the Commission.

## ALTERNATIVES FOR ACTION

The following alternatives are available to San Diego LAFCO through a single motion:

### Alternative One (recommended):

- (a) Adopt the attached resolution provided as Attachment One approving the proposed workplan (Exhibit A) and proposed budget (Exhibit B) for 2020-2021 with any desired changes.
- (b) Direct the Executive Officer to circulate the adopted proposed workplan and budget for 2020-2021 for review and comment by the funding agencies and the general public; and
- (c) Direct the Executive Officer to return with a final workplan and budget for 2020-2021 for adoption as part of noticed hearing on May 4, 2020.

### Alternative Two:

Continue consideration of the item to its next regular meeting scheduled for April 6, 2020 and provide direction to the Executive Officer with respect to any additional information requests.

## PROCEDURES FOR CONSIDERATION

This item has been placed on the agenda for action as part of a noticed public hearing. The following procedures, accordingly, are recommended in the Commission's consideration.

- 1) Receive verbal report from staff unless waived.
- 2) Invite questions from the Commission.
- 3) Open the hearing and invite comments from audience members.
- 4) Close the public hearing, discuss item, and consider recommendation.

Respectfully,



Keene Simonds  
Executive Officer

### Attachments:

- 1) Draft Resolution
  - Exhibit A: Proposed Workplan
  - Exhibit B: Proposed Budget

**RESOLUTION No. \_\_\_\_**

**SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION**

**ADOPTING A PROPOSED WORKPLAN AND BUDGET  
FISCAL YEAR 2020-2021**

**WHEREAS**, the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 requires the San Diego County Local Agency Formation Commission (“Commission”) to perform certain regulatory and planning duties in facilitating efficient and accountable local government; and

**WHEREAS**, the Commission is required to annually adopt proposed and final budgets by May 1<sup>st</sup> and June 15<sup>th</sup>, respectively; and

**WHEREAS**, the Executive Officer has prepared a written report and recommendations on a proposed workplan and budget for 2020-2021; and

**WHEREAS**, the Commission has heard and fully considered all the evidence on a proposed workplan and budget for 2020-2021 presented at a public hearing held on March 2, 2020;

**WHEREAS**, the adoption of a workplan and budget are not projects under the California Environmental Quality Act.

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE COMMISSION DOES HEREBY RESOLVE, DETERMINE, AND ORDER** as follows:

1. The proposed workplan for 2020-2021 shown as Exhibit A is APPROVED.
2. The proposed budget for 2020-2021 shown as Exhibit B is APPROVED.
3. The Executive Officer is directed to circulate copies of the approved workplan and operating budget for public review and comment – including notice all LAFCO funding agencies – and return with a final workplan and budget no later than June 1, 2020.

The foregoing resolution was duly and regularly adopted by the Commission at a public hearing held on March 2, 2020 by the following vote:

Yes: \_\_\_\_\_

No: \_\_\_\_\_

Abstain: \_\_\_\_\_

Attest:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Keene Simonds  
Executive Officer

**Blank for Photocopying**





## **San Diego County Local Agency Formation Commission**

### **Regional Service Planning | Subdivision of the State of California**

---

#### **2020-2021 Workplan (Draft)**

##### **Introduction:**

Local Agency Formation Commissions' (LAFCOs) operate under the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2001 ("CKH") and are delegated regulatory and planning responsibilities by the Legislature to oversee the formation and subsequent development of local government agencies and their municipal service areas. Common regulatory functions include approving jurisdictional changes and outside service requests. Common planning functions include preparing studies to independently evaluate the availability, performance, and need for municipal services and establishing and updating spheres of influence – which are the Legislature's version of urban growth boundaries and gatekeepers to future jurisdictional changes – for all cities and special districts. All regulatory and planning activities undertaken by LAFCOs may be conditioned and must be consistent with policies and procedures.

##### **Objective:**

This document represents San Diego LAFCO's ("Commission") formal 2020-2021 Workplan. The Workplan draws on the recommendations of the Executive Officer as vetted and approved by the Commission. The Workplan is divided into two distinct categories – statutory and administrative – with one of three priority rankings: high; moderate; or low. The underlying intent of the Workplan is to serve as a management tool to allocate Commission resources in a transparent manner over the 12-month period. Further, while it is a stand-alone document, the Workplan should be reviewed in relationship to the adopted operating budget given the planned goals and activities are facilitated and or limited accordingly. Additionally, and as needed, the Commission reserves discretion to amend the Workplan during the fiscal year to address changes in resources and or priorities and to carry-forward projects into subsequent years.

##### **Executive Summary:**

The 2020-2021 Workplan continues to guide the Commission to prioritize resources in addressing statutory duties and responsibilities. This includes continuing work on existing projects established – but not yet completed – from earlier fiscal years and marked by completing municipal service reviews for the Vista, San Marcos, and Fallbrook regions. New municipal service reviews involving the Oceanside/Carlsbad and Encinitas regions are also included in the Workplan as well as performing a policy review on LAFCO's task to identify and authorize special district service functions and classes. Other new projects include filling a vacant Analyst I/II position and re-establishing participation with SANDAG.

Priority	Level	Type	Project	Description and Key Issues
Continual	...	Statutory	Applicant Proposals	LAFCO will prioritize resources to address proposals involving boundary changes and outside service requests; current/pending proposals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fallbrook PUD/Rainbow MWD/County Water Authority</li> <li>- Reorganization of CSA No. 135 into FPD</li> <li>- Fallbrook PUD Latent Powers Activation</li> <li>- Reorganization of Valley Center CSD into CSA</li> <li>- Harvest Hills (Escondido et al)</li> <li>- Valiano (Escondido et al)</li> </ul>
Continual	...	Administrative	Targeted LAFCO Presentations	LAFCO will prioritize public outreach; emphasis on informing stakeholders ahead of MSR work
1	High	Statutory	MSR   Fallbrook Region	Reviews of Fallbrook PUD, Rainbow MWD, San Luis Rey MWD, & North County FPD
2	High	Statutory	MSR   Escondido Region Part II	Review of the City of Escondido; follows completion of Part I (Rincon del Diablo MWD and Deer Springs FPD) in 2019-2020
3	High	Administrative	Policy Review   Rule No. 4	Update Rule No. 4 and it provisions guiding LAFCO's duty to identify and establish special districts' service functions and classes
4	High	Statutory	MSR   San Marcos Region	Reviews of the City of San Marcos, San Marcos FPD, and Vallecitos WD
5	High	Statutory	MSR   Vista Region	Reviews of the City of Vista, Vista ID, Vista FPD, and Buena SD
6	High	Statutory	MSR   Oceanside and Carlsbad Region	Reviews of Cities of Oceanside and Carlsbad as well as Carlsbad MWD and Morro Hills
7	High	Administrative	Analyst I/II Position	Perform recruitment and hire of a new Analyst I/II
8	High	Administrative	Cities Advisory Committee	Staff and maintain feedback with Cities Advisory Committee and hold no less than two formal meetings
9	High	Administrative	Special Districts Advisory Committee	Staff and maintain feedback with Special Districts Advisory Committee and hold no less than three formal meetings
10	High	Administrative	Policy Review   Study Schedule	Update study schedule calendaring municipal service reviews to reflect current progress and related observations
11	Moderate	Administrative	2019-2020 Audit	Coordinate outside consultant's review of financial statements for 2019-2020 and identify opportunities to improve accounting system
12	Moderate	Statutory	MSR   Encinitas Region	Reviews of the City of Encinitas and Leucadia WWD, Olivenhain MWD, and San Dieguito WD
13	Moderate	Administrative	SANDAG	Re-establish regular participation in SANDAG's Technical Working Group (TWG)
14	Moderate	Administrative	State Groundwater Management Act	Prepare report on State Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) implementation in San Diego County relative to LAFCO duties/interests
15	Moderate	Administrative	Application Procedures	Streamline existing application packet to be more user-friendly; address new statutory requirements
16	Low	Administrative	CALAFCO	Participate in CALAFCO through the Board, Leg Committee, and Annual Workshop and Conference
17	Low	Administrative	SOI/MSR Annual Report	Prepare annual report to serve as living record of all sphere actions in San Diego County
18	Low	Administrative	Local Agency Directory	Create user-friendly publication identifying and summarizing local governmental agencies subject to LAFCO oversight
19	Low	Administrative	Office Space	Review options on office space ahead of Aug 2021 decision to exercise 5-year lease option at 9335 Hazard Way
20	Low	Administrative	Social Media	Establish policies and procedures to expand outreach to capture alternate media forums



# San Diego County Local Agency Formation Commission

## Exhibit B

Regional Service Planning | Subdivision of the State of California

OPERATING EXPENSES		FY 2017-2018		FY 2018-2019		FY 2019-2020		FY 2020-2021		
		Adopted	Actuals	Adopted	Actuals	Adopted	Estimated	Proposed	Difference	
<b>Salaries and Benefits Unit</b>										
<u>Account No.</u>	<u>Description</u>									
51110-51310	Salaries and Wages	1,100,599	617,838	689,719	638,748	752,780	708,716	782,597	29,817	4.0%
51410	Retirement - SDCERA	-	166,680	239,780	230,865	258,148	251,041	276,452	18,304	7.1%
51415	Retirement - OPEB	-	7,256	10,560	10,091	10,539	10,067	11,136	597	5.7%
51421	Retirement - OPEB Bonds	-	27,841	41,598	37,308	40,321	38,564	42,605	2,285	5.7%
51450	Payroll Taxes (Social Security and Medicare)	-	35,613	48,958	46,163	53,393	48,902	56,418	3,025	5.7%
51510-51550	Group Insurance (Health and Dental)	-	74,615	96,958	95,405	100,234	100,408	103,116	2,882	2.9%
51560	Unemployment Insurance	-	235	4,032	163	3,769	1,817	2,000	(1,769)	-46.9%
		1,100,599	930,078	1,131,604	1,058,743	1,219,183	1,159,516	1,274,324	55,141	4.5%
<b>Services and Supplies Unit</b>										
<u>Account No.</u>	<u>Description</u>									
52074	Telecommunications	500	2,266	2,500	3,860	3,600	3,686	3,636	36	1.0%
52178	Vehicle - Maintenance	2,000	489	2,000	610	1,500	900	980	(520)	-34.7%
52182	Vehicle - Fuel	1,500	401	1,500	367	1,000	600	1,000	-	0.0%
52270	Memberships	15,000	11,328	13,000	14,601	28,139	23,651	25,412	(2,727)	-9.7%
52304	Miscellaneous	50	6,001	50	20	50	10	50	-	0.0%
52330	Office: General	1,000	15,253	8,500	6,399	7,420	7,000	7,420	-	0.0%
52332	Office: Postage	500	-	500	84	500	413	500	-	0.0%
52334	Office: Printing	7,500	-	10,000	4,795	10,000	10,000	10,000	-	0.0%
52336	Office: Books and Guidelines	2,000	3,609	2,000	3,226	2,000	1,700	2,000	-	0.0%
52338	Office: Drafting/Engineering	50	-	50	-	50	-	50	-	0.0%
52344	Office: Supplies and Furnishings	18,000	13,140	17,500	9,302	17,800	11,678	15,800	(2,000)	-11.2%
52354	Office: County Mail Services	9,000	10,037	9,000	18,896	10,000	11,587	10,000	-	0.0%
52370	Professional Services: Consultants	382,500	326,850	259,110	398,125	204,505	227,704	198,215	(6,290)	-3.1%
52490	Publications and Legal Notices	7,500	7,085	5,000	10,382	4,650	5,698	4,650	-	0.0%
52504	Leases: Equipment	4,000	5,498	6,500	8,137	6,600	6,393	6,600	-	0.0%
52530	Leases: Office Space	80,000	79,789	79,880	79,555	82,657	82,657	84,764	2,107	2.5%
52550	Special Expenses: County Overhead	155,000	47,826	155,000	113,842	100,896	81,020	91,507	(9,389)	-9.3%
52562	Special Expenses: New Hire Backgrounds	-	572	-	689	-	-	-	-	0.0%
52566	Special Expenses: Minor Equipment	1,000	1,164	1,000	2,788	1,000	1,000	1,000	-	0.0%
52602	Computer Training	2,000	-	2,000	-	2,000	-	2,000	-	0.0%
52610	Travel and Training   In County	500	11,301	5,000	6,634	4,500	7,032	4,500	-	0.0%
52612	Employee Auto	10,000	8,724	10,000	9,069	9,700	9,244	9,700	-	0.0%
52622	Travel and Training   Out of County	1,000	14,390	10,000	25,432	23,550	21,086	23,550	-	0.0%
52704-52722	Reimbursements: Network	31,500	27,137	30,000	26,450	30,000	34,332	30,000	-	0.0%
52723	Reimbursements: Data Center	45,000	48,214	45,000	30,728	45,000	36,190	45,000	-	0.0%
52725	Reimbursements: Financial Systems	20,000	18,888	20,000	27,556	20,000	24,174	20,000	-	0.0%
52726-52732	Reimbursements: Desktop Computing	27,700	47,462	25,000	25,311	25,000	31,557	25,000	-	0.0%
52734	Reimbursements: Help Desk	2,500	3,154	3,000	4,743	3,000	2,734	3,000	-	0.0%
52750-52754	Reimbursements: Catalog Equipment	51,000	23,973	45,000	32,097	45,000	22,743	45,000	-	0.0%
52758	Reimbursements: Vehicle Lease	3,000	1,986	2,000	1,986	2,000	1,986	2,000	-	0.0%
		881,300	736,535	770,090	865,684	692,117	666,773	673,334	(18,783)	-2.7%

**OPERATING EXPENSES CONTINUED...**

Other Units										
Account No.	Description									
53585	Equipment Depreciation	2,500	2,019	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	-	0.0%
54955-54961	Fixed Assets	2,500	-	2,500	-	2,500	-	2,500	-	0.0%
		<u>5,000</u>	<u>2,019</u>	<u>5,000</u>	<u>2,500</u>	<u>5,000</u>	<u>2,500</u>	<u>5,000</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>0.0%</u>
	EXPENSE TOTALS	1,986,899	1,668,632	1,906,694	1,926,927	1,916,300	1,828,789	1,952,658	36,358	1.9%

**OPERATING REVENUES**

	FY 2017-2018		FY 2018-2019		FY 2019-2020		FY 2020-2021			
	Adopted	Actuals	Adopted	Actuals	Adopted	Estimated	Proposed	Difference		
<b>Intergovernmental Unit</b>										
Account No.	Description									
45918.1	467,171	467,171	475,684	475,684	486,771	486,771	500,760	13,988	2.9%	
45918.2	467,171	467,171	475,684	475,684	486,771	486,771	500,760	13,988	2.9%	
45918.3	233,586	233,586	237,842	237,842	243,386	243,386	250,380	6,994	2.9%	
45918.4	467,171	467,171	475,684	475,684	486,771	486,771	500,760	13,988	2.9%	
	<u>1,635,099</u>	<u>1,635,099</u>	<u>1,664,894</u>	<u>1,664,894</u>	<u>1,703,700</u>	<u>1,703,700</u>	<u>1,752,658</u>	<u>48,958</u>	<u>2.9%</u>	
<b>Service Charges Unit</b>										
Account No.	Description									
46234	125,000	168,009	125,000	82,147	125,000	136,941	130,000	5,000	4.0%	
	<u>125,000</u>	<u>168,009</u>	<u>125,000</u>	<u>82,147</u>	<u>125,000</u>	<u>136,941</u>	<u>130,000</u>	<u>5,000</u>	<u>4.0%</u>	
<b>Earnings Unit</b>										
Account No.	Description									
44105	6,800	15,535	6,800	19,052	15,000	28,054	20,000	5,000	33.3%	
	<u>6,800</u>	<u>15,535</u>	<u>6,800</u>	<u>19,052</u>	<u>15,000</u>	<u>28,054</u>	<u>20,000</u>	<u>5,000</u>	<u>33.3%</u>	
<b>Miscellaneous Unit</b>										
Account No.	Description									
47540	220,000	-	110,000	217,186	72,600	72,600	50,000	(22,600)	-31.1%	
	<u>220,000</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>110,000</u>	<u>217,186</u>	<u>72,600</u>	<u>72,600</u>	<u>50,000</u>	<u>(22,600)</u>	<u>-31.1%</u>	
	REVENUE TOTALS	1,986,899	1,818,643	1,906,694	1,983,279	1,916,300	1,941,296	1,952,658	36,358	1.9%
<b>OPERATING NET</b>		-	150,011	-	56,352	-	112,506	-		

**FUND BALANCE | JUNE 30th**

Committed	175,000	175,000	550,000
Assigned	75,000	75,000	125,000
Unassigned	<u>1,357,486</u>	<u>1,196,652</u>	<u>811,558</u>
	1,607,486	1,446,652	1,486,558